



REV. D. THOMAS PORTER, PH.D.

3422 Fallview Court ♦ Land O' Lakes, FL 34639
<http://PorterHouse.info> ♦ DrTom@PorterHouse.info
 813.962.5758^{Office} 813.728.5713^{Cell}
 Thursday, January 14, 2016
 See more at <http://DThomasPorter.com/BibleStudies>

LESSON NOTES

VALUES OF THE KING (Matthew 5),
 Lord's Day, January 17, 2016
 A Beginning Study of the "Sermon on the Mount"



OVERVIEW

Most people skip over the teachings of Jesus in the latter part of Matthew 5. Or, their analyses begins with "What Jesus is really saying is..." When you hear that, run. When you see yourself thinking that, slap yourself. And, then turn the other cheek and do it again.


As you will note Lifeway avoids the tough passages about divorce, praying for one's enemies, and giving to those who beg from you. And God forbid we teach that we should loan money to those who ask. Oh well, it's time you confronted what Jesus taught as recorded by Matthew. You'll be amazed about how revolutionary He was. How theologically challenging He was. And, how personal He was—about you and your beliefs. Bottom line? Make sure your attitudes and behaviors about relationships, worship, giving, reconciling, anger, etc. come from the teachings of Jesus, not the teachings about the teachings of Jesus.

BROAD CONTEXTUAL ISSUES

Regarding Matthew 5-7, a commentator from Lifeway once wrote regarding Matthew 5-7...

"This is no lightweight 'preacher speech' delivered after dinner on the grounds. It was a manifesto for upside-down living. It was basic citizenship for residents of the kingdom of God. And for the disciples, it was nothing less than rewriting the rulebook for what it means to truly [sic] follow Jesus."

I commend their statement and challenge you to consider this as we begin a study of the "sermon on the mount." So many have heard/read/watched these three chapters in Matthew (e.g., Godspell) that they will think they "know them." Trust me, neither they nor we do. Unless you fully recognize, intellectually *and* spiritually, the theology being taught by Jesus as revolutionary, you do not fully know Matthew 5-7.




Jesus' sermon was and is shot over the bridge of the religious right. The orthodox of their time, the Pharisees, Sadducees, and Essenes, were so enamored by their orthodoxy, they failed to see they had turned God's Law into a mishmash of human hypocrisy and human exaltation. That is, if I know the Law and practice the Law better than anyone else, I am righteous. Balderdash! This is the key message behind the teachings of the Lord God Jehovah we find in the Scriptures we call the "New Testament." No wonder the poor were enamored, the rich threatened, and the religious right incensed. Jesus put it on the line. He turned the world upside down: theologically, spiritually, socially, and eventually economically.

Theologically, Jesus revealed the meaning of the plural pronoun used in Genesis 1:26. Spiritually, Jesus proclaimed real spirituality was connection to the great I AM, not our narcissistic knowledge of God and self-exalting obedience to the commands of the I AM. Socially, Jesus tore the curtain from top to bottom, forever eliminating any human barrier between humans, and between humans and the Lord God Jehovah. Economically, Jesus destroyed the presumably causal linkage between God's blessing and material gifts. A whole new meaning of "blessing" became evident to all who would listen to the Son of God in Matthew 5.

CONTEXT: HISTORICAL, RELIGIOUS, AND POLITICAL

In order to understand Matthew 5-7, you must understand the contexts in which Christ came to this earth as Jesus of Nazareth. Among some of the key issues, be sure you understand the implications of:

1. The time between the Hebrew Scriptures and the "New Testament."
2. The criteria for what constituted the canon.
3. The dramatic changes occurring in Judaism, especially why those changes were erupting (e.g., the pig in the temple; or, the ongoing debates between the Hillel and Shammai schools of Scripture interpretation).
4. The writings between the Hebrew Scriptures and Matthew — there was a lot of "scripture-writing" going on, but it was not recognized as guided by Holy Spirit. Distinguishing between the Apocrypha and the Pseudepigrapha is critical.
5. The history of the Herod's which affected the Palestine of Jesus' time.



KEY ISSUES AND CONTEXTS—PREPARING TO STUDY MATTHEW 5-7

1. Context. After being led into the desert by Holy Spirit, Jesus has just survived a 40-day fast and several encounters with Satan. Relying upon Scripture, Jesus was eventually served by His angels and then proceeded to choose a few good men as students. His teaching, preaching, and healing was so popular throughout Syria that large crowds came south to Galilee to hear Him. Matthew and Luke record one of those times when Jesus "taught the crowds."¹

¹Opinions differ regarding whether this Sermon is a summary of what Jesus taught on one occasion or a compilation of teachings presented on numerous occasions. Thirty-four of the verses in Matthew's record occur in different contexts in Luke than the apparently parallel Sermon on the Plain found in Luke 6:17-49. Some of the differences are important. For example, Matthew speaks of being poor in spirit, whereas Luke is clearly talking about being poor in the traditionally material sense.

2. Matthew 5:1-6—Upon seeing the crowds, Jesus went upon on a hillside, and sat down like all teachers sit. His disciples came close, perhaps to hear better so they could repeat the teaching to the crowds. Here is the opening salvo:
- ⌘ Closer to God² are those who are poor in spirit. They may be poor in your eyes, but I say they have the kingdom of heaven.
 - ⌘ Closer to God are those who mourn. You may be embarrassed by them now and look away from them because they are sad, but they will be comforted.
 - ⌘ Closer to God are the meek. You may think nice guys finish last, but the meek shall last for they shall inherit the earth.
 - ⌘ Closer to God are those who hunger and thirst after righteousness. You may think they are fanatics, but they will be filled in ways you, who thirst after pragmatism and rationality, can never or ever will be filled.
3. Matthew 5:7-12—He continued His teaching ...
- ⌘ Closer to God are those who show mercy [grace]. You may think the merciful are weak, but they will be given grace when others will not.
 - ⌘ Closer to God are those whose *raison d'être* is pure. You may not always see their reason for being, but God does and they will see God Himself.
 - ⌘ Closer to God are those who seek peace (the Greek here, “eh-ray-nayn” [εἰρηνην] connotes “reconciliation,” not just an absence of conflict or war). You who enjoy, if not live for, the grittiness and passion of conflict may attribute the reconcilers as wimpy, but the Lord God calls the seekers of reconciliation His children.
 - ⌘ Closer to God are those who suffer for doing and being God’s example of doing and being right. Those who suffer because of this? They inherit the kingdom of heaven. Yes, you are closer to God when they insult you for My sake than when they praise you. You are closer to God when you suffer or are lied about because of Me. Take the time to rejoice! Why? Your reward in heaven in great, and you’re in great company. These same spiritual morons persecuted My prophets before you were ever here on earth.
4. Matthew 5:13-16—Here’s what all this means. Given that you are indeed merciful, poor in spirit, meek, sufferers for righteousness, and even mournful for Me, you are the salt of the earth. You make things taste better; you preserve the word; you keep pure what has been given to the whole earth. But remember—salt which loses its abilities is not good for anything, except to be used as filler for a road! People trample and urinate on that road.
- Similarly, given that you’re merciful, meek, suffer for righteousness, and have a pure reason for being, you are the light of the world. You enlighten by lighting up the world with righteousness and exposing the evil in the world. You are the light. Make sure your light shines. After all, people

²The meaning of “blessed” was adulterated as much then as it is now. Too often we use the phrase “blessed by God” as a synomic phrase for “gift from God.” Not all gifts from God are blessings, because some can become a curse if they separate us from the Lord God. Similarly, not all gifts are curses, but become blessings, because they bring us closer to the Lord God Jehovah. Being close to God is the real meaning, both theologically and pragmatically of “blessing;” being separated from Him is the curse.

don't put a light under a bowl; they put it up high so everyone can see. When you shine in this way, Father God can be praised because your acts of righteousness are seen by the light you show.

KEY TEXT ISSUES—MATTHEW 5:17-48

Matthew 5:17-20. *The Law has not gone away, but its fulfillment has arrived—Me.* I am not here to abolish the laws of the Torah,³ nor the precepts of the Prophets (e.g., Isaiah or Malachi). I am not here to abolish, but to **fulfill** the Law (see APPENDIX A: THE “FULFILLMENT” OF THE LAW IN MATTHEW 5:17). Amen, indeed—until this creation disappears, not even the dot over the j will disappear from the Law, until everything is consummated.

So? Anyone who sets aside one of the least of these commands, and teaches others accordingly, will be called the least in the kingdom. BUT, whoever practices and teaches these commands will be called great in the kingdom of God. Unless your righteousness surpasses the best of the best, e.g., the Pharisees and the professors of Scripture, you will not enter the kingdom of God.

Q What did the Christ abolish within the Law? Given this then, why don't we follow all the Law presented in the Torah?

Q What is the value, then of the Law? Why would Father God proclaim the Law, Holy Spirit inspire the Law and the Christ fulfill the Law if it can not be obeyed, perfectly?

Q What is one of the “least of these commands?”

Q How can our righteousness surpass the righteous of the righteous and the scholars of the scholars of God's will?

MATTHEW 5:17-20

“Do not think that I have come to abolish the Law or the Prophets; I have not come to abolish them but to fulfill them. ¹⁸For truly I tell you, until heaven and earth disappear, not the smallest letter, not the least stroke of a pen, will by any means disappear from the Law until everything is accomplished. ¹⁹Therefore anyone who sets aside one of the least of these commands and teaches others accordingly will be called least in the kingdom of heaven, but whoever practices and teaches these commands will be called great in the kingdom of heaven. ²⁰For I tell you that unless your righteousness surpasses that of the Pharisees and the teachers of the law, you will certainly not enter the kingdom of heaven.

²¹“You have heard that it was said to the people long ago, ‘You shall not murder, [see Exodus 20:13] and anyone who murders will be subject to judgment.’ ²²But I tell you that anyone who is angry with a brother or sister [the Greek “brother or sister” (adelphos, αδελφος) refers here to a fellow disciple, whether man or woman; also in verse 23; some mss. add “without cause.”] will be subject to judgment. Again, anyone who says to a brother or sister, ‘Raca,’^d is answerable to the court. And anyone who says, ‘You fool!’ will be in danger of the fire of hell.

³Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers & Deuteronomy.


Matthew 5:21-22. *The Law's proper emphasis—more than acts.* The Law is clear—you shall not murder. Deity's command regarding murder has been declared for ages. When one murders, there will be consequence at judgment—don't think Deity ignores murder.

BUT, before you get all high and mighty thinking, "I've never murdered anyone," listen up! Anyone who is angry with his brother or sister will also be subject to consequences at judgment. Furthermore, if you yell at others calling them "stupid," you are answerable to authority. And... anyone who calls another "fool," is in danger of hell itself. These people against whom you are angry, have called stupid or fool? They are Deity's creation—you are not the judge, nor is righteousness sufficient to call others stupid or fools, to say nothing of being angry with another human creation.

- Q What is the Christ teaching here about murder? The Law? How does this *fulfill* the Law, yet not abolish it?
- Q Why do we love to be angry at others? Call them stupid? Call them fools?
- Q Why is Christ concerned about anger, yelling at people, calling them names?

MATTHEW 5:21-22

"You have heard that it was said to the people long ago, 'You shall not murder, [see Exodus 20:13] and anyone who murders will be subject to judgment.' ²²But I tell you that anyone who is angry with a brother or sister [the Greek "brother or sister" (adelphos, αδελφος) refers here to a fellow disciple, whether man or woman; also in verse 23; some mss. add "without cause."] will be subject to judgment. Again, anyone who says to a brother or sister, 'Raca,'d is answerable to the court. And anyone who says, 'You fool!' will be in danger of the fire of hell.



Matthew 5:23-32. *The Law's proper emphasis—more than acts*. For example, when your brother has something against you, don't try to worship at the altar. Leave your gift at the altar and go and be reconciled to your brother. Then go back and worship and offer your gift. Furthermore, come to peace with your accuser *before* you are presented to the judge, and then the judge gives you to the guard who puts you in prison. Amen, I tell you—you won't get out until you've paid the very last penny of your fine.

Now about adultery. You already know you are not to do so, and may have very self-righteous feelings about not doing "the deed." But, I say if you've lusted after someone else, you committed adultery. Hey! If your best eye causes you to sin, get rid of it. If your best hand causes you to sin, cut it off—throw it away! If you can't stay away from porn, get rid of the computer, the cable, the HDTV. It's better you lose these "things," rather than spend an eternity in hell.

What about divorce? It's simple—even though you've been divorcing each other for millennia, it's still adultery. You commit adultery, period. Sure when you're betrothed and there is sexual immorality involved, then a divorce is justified. But otherwise, it is not!

- Q When you're upset with your brother, are you to worship? [Hint: re-read the teaching of Jesus.]
- Q Why doesn't Lifeway cover this teaching?
- Q What does Jesus teach about divorce? See also Appendix C (*About "Marital Unfaithfulness"*)
- Q What does Jesus teach about the heart's role in disobedience?
- Q Why do we water down Jesus' teaching here in these passages?

MATTHEW 5:23-32

So if you are offering your gift at the altar and there remember that your brother has something against you, ²⁴leave your gift there before the altar and go. First be reconciled to your brother, and then come and offer your gift. ²⁵Come to terms quickly with your accuser while you are going with him to court, lest your accuser hand you over to the judge, and the judge to the guard, and you be put in prison. ²⁶Truly, I say to you, you will never get out until you have paid the last penny.

²⁷“You have heard that it was said, ‘You shall not commit adultery.’ ²⁸But I say to you that everyone who looks at a woman with lustful intent has already committed adultery with her in his heart. ²⁹If your right eye causes you to sin, tear it out and throw it away. For it is better that you lose one of your members than that your whole body be thrown into hell. ³⁰And if your right hand causes you to sin, cut it off and throw it away. For it is better that you lose one of your members than that your whole body go into hell.

³¹“It was also said, ‘Whoever divorces his wife, let him give her a certificate of divorce.’ ³²But I say to you that everyone who divorces his wife, except on the ground of sexual immorality, makes her commit adultery, and whoever marries a divorced woman commits adultery.

Matthew 5:23-32. *The Law's proper emphasis—more than acts*. You've been taught not to swear falsely. That is good, but I say do not take an oath at all. Whether you swear by earth, or heave, or the throne of God, none are yours on which to affirm your promises. Even your head is not yours—you can't even make one hair white or black. Let your "Yes" be yes, and your "No" be know. When you have to "promise" something, it tells others that sometimes your word is not your word. Evil accompanies the person who has to affirm his/her declarations with an oath.

You've been taught that punishment should be commensurate with the offense; e.g., an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth. BUT I say, don't resist one who is evil. If he slaps you on one cheek, present the other one for slapping. If he takes your shirt in a lawsuit, give him your jacket as well. If you're forced to go one mile with someone, go with him two. Give to those who beg from you—do not refuse the one who would borrow from you.

You've been taught to love your neighbor, and hate your enemy. BUT I say, love your enemies, pray for them. That way, you can become children of Father God. Hey, He makes His sun rise on the good and the evil; He sends rain on the just and unjust. Look, if you love only the loveable, so what? Pagans do that. You? You must be perfect, just as Father God in heaven is perfect.

Q Why are we not to swear oaths?⁴

Q Why are we to turn the other cheek? Go the second mile? Give up our coat? Give to the beggar? Refuse not those who would borrow?

Q Why are we to pray for our enemies, for those who persecute us?

MATTHEW 5:33-48

"Again you have heard that it was said to those of old, 'You shall not swear falsely, but shall perform to the Lord what you have sworn.'³⁴ But I say to you, Do not take an oath at all, either by heaven, for it is the throne of God,³⁵ or by the earth, for it is his footstool, or by Jerusalem, for it is the city of the great King.³⁶ And do not take an oath by your head, for you cannot make one hair white or black.³⁷ Let what you say be simply 'Yes' or 'No'; anything more than this comes from evil.

³⁸"You have heard that it was said, 'An eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth.'³⁹ But I say to you, Do not resist the one who is evil. But if anyone slaps you on the right cheek, turn to him the other also.⁴⁰ And if anyone would sue you and take your tunic, let him have your cloak as well.⁴¹ And if anyone forces you to go one mile, go with him two miles.⁴² Give to the one who begs from you, and do not refuse the one who would borrow from you.

⁴³"You have heard that it was said, 'You shall love your neighbor and hate your enemy.'⁴⁴ But I say to you, Love your enemies and pray for those who persecute you,⁴⁵ so that you may be sons of your Father who is in heaven. For he makes his sun rise on the evil and on the good, and sends rain on the just and on the unjust.⁴⁶ For if you love those who love you, what reward do you have? Do not even the tax collectors do the same?⁴⁷ And if you greet only your brothers, what more are you doing than others? Do not even the Gentiles do the same?⁴⁸ You therefore must be perfect, as your heavenly Father is perfect.

⁴See <http://TheScoop.DThomasPorter.com/?p=114> To wit: God is not very smart, for "very" implies a non-existent continuum. God is smart, period, in the absolute. Therefore, we can better understand God's revelation in history, the natural world, and in Scripture if we continually ask—Why did God allow that in history? Why did God create the world with such beauty, and trauma? Why did God give us these commands in Scripture? With every answer, we learn how and why... God is smart. "God is smart" thus becomes a potent hermeneutic.

5:1-7:29 The Sermon on the Mount is the first of five great discourses in Matthew (chs. 5-7; 10; 13; 18; 24-25). It contains three types of material:

- (1) beatitudes, i.e., declarations of blessedness (5:1-12),
- (2) ethical admonitions (5:13-20; 6:1-7:23) and
- (3) contrasts between Jesus' ethical teaching and Jewish legalistic traditions (5:21-48).

The Sermon ends with a short parable stressing the importance of practicing what has just been taught (7:24-27) and an expression of amazement by the crowds at the authority with which Jesus spoke (7:28-29).

The Sermon on the Mount's call to moral and ethical living is so high that some have dismissed it as being completely unrealistic or have projected its fulfillment to the future kingdom. There is no doubt, however, that Jesus (and Matthew) gave the sermon as a standard for all Christians, realizing that its demands cannot be met in our own power. In this respect, it demonstrates the power of the Law; that is, a full recognition of the Law's demands crushes us, making us understand how dependant we truly are upon the Lord God Jehovah. It is also true that Jesus occasionally used hyperbole to make his point (see, e.g., the note on 5:29-30. Even a blind man can lust).

5:1 *mountainside*. The exact location is uncertain. It may have been the gently sloping hillside at the northwest corner of the Sea of Galilee, not far from Capernaum.

See also Luke 6:20-49 Luke's Sermon on the Plain, apparently parallel to Matthew's Sermon on the Mount (Mt 5-7). Although this sermon is much shorter than the one in Matthew, they both begin with the Beatitudes and end with the lesson of the builders. Some of Matthew's Sermon is found in other portions of Luke (e.g., Luke 11:2-4; Luke 12:22-31,33-34), suggesting that the material may have been given on various occasions in Jesus' preaching. See Mt 5:3-12. The Beatitudes go deeper than material poverty (v. 20) and physical hunger (v. 21). Matthew's account indicates that Jesus spoke of poverty "in spirit" (Mt 5:3) and hunger "for righteousness" (Mt 5:6).

The new law, like the old (Ex 19:3), was given from a mountain.

sat down. It was the custom for Jewish rabbis to be seated while teaching (see Mk 4:1; 9:35; Lk 4:20; 5:3; Jn 8:2).

disciples. Lit. "Learners." Since at the end of the Sermon the "crowds" expressed amazement at Jesus' teaching (7:28), "disciples" may here be used in a broader sense than the Twelve. Or perhaps the Sermon is addressed to the Twelve with the crowds also listening.

5:5 *meek*. This beatitude is taken from Ps 37:11 and refers not so much to an attitude toward people as to a disposition before God, namely, humility. *the earth*. The new promised land (cf. Rev 21:1).

5:8 *heart*. The center of one's being, including mind, will and emotions; i.e., one's *raison d'être*, one's reason for being. The "heart" in Biblical language is the center of the human spirit, from which spring emotions, thought, motivations, courage and action—"the wellspring of life" (Proverbs 4:23).

⁵Adapted, revised and edited by D. Thomas Porter from Compton's Interactive NIV © 1996.

5:9 *peacemakers*. Those who promote reconciliation, as far as it depends on them (Romans 12:18). In so doing, they reflect the character of their heavenly Father and so are called “sons of God.”

5:10 *theirs is the kingdom of heaven*. A present reality as well as a future hope.

5:13 *salt*. Used for flavoring and preserving. *loses its saltiness*. Most of the salt used in Israel came from the Dead Sea and was full of impurities. This caused it to lose some of its usefulness as a flavor enhancer or as a preservative.

5:15 *lamp*. In Jesus' day people used small clay lamps that burned olive oil drawn up by a wick. The ancient lamp was a small clay saucer with part of its rim pinched together to form a spout from which protruded the top of a wick fed by oil contained in the saucer. (Examples of seven-spouted lamps come from the time of Moses.) The ruins of Beth Shan and Megiddo have yielded examples of a metal pedestal topped by a ledge designed to carry a lamp. The classic representation of the shape of the tabernacle lampstand comes from the time of Herod the Great and may be seen on the Arch of Titus in Rome. The lamps were to burn all night in the tabernacle, tended by the priests. Oil for the lamps was to be supplied by the people; the light from the lamps represented the glory of the Lord reflected in the consecrated lives of the Israelites—Israel's glory answering to God's glory in the tabernacle (Exodus 29:43). See Exodus 27:20-21.

bowl. A bowl that held about 8 quarts of ground meal or flour.

5:16 *Father in heaven*. Matthew uses the term "Father in heaven" or "heavenly Father" 17 times, whereas Mark and Luke use the term only once each, and John does not use it at all.

5:17 *the Law*. The first five books of the Hebrew Scriptures.

the Prophets. Not only the Latter Prophets--Isaiah, Jeremiah and Ezekiel, which we call Major Prophets, and the 12 Minor Prophets (lumped together by the Jews as "the Book of the Twelve")--but also the so-called Former Prophets (Joshua, Judges, Samuel and Kings). Taken together, "the Law" and "the Prophets" designated the entire Hebrew Scriptures, including the Writings, the third section of the Hebrew Bible. See 13:35, where Matthew introduces a quotation from the Writings (Ps 78:2) with "what was spoken through the prophet."

fulfill. Jesus fulfilled the Law in the sense that He gave it its full meaning. He emphasized its deep, underlying principles and total commitment to it rather than mere external acknowledgment and obedience.

For further reading about “Fulfill,” see *Appendix A: The “Fulfillment” of the Law*

5:18-20 Jesus is not speaking against observing all the requirements of the Law, but against hypocritical, Pharisaical legalism. Such legalism was not the keeping of all details of the Law but the hollow sham of keeping laws externally, to gain merit before God and praise from others, while breaking them inwardly. It was following the letter of the Law while ignoring its spirit. Jesus repudiates the Pharisees' interpretation of the Law and their view of righteousness by works. He preaches a righteousness that comes only through faith in Him and His work. In the verses that follow, he gives six examples of Pharisaical externalism.

5:18 *smallest letter.* One word in Greek (*iota*), which we use when we say, "It doesn't make one iota of difference." It is the nearest Greek equivalent to the Hebrew *yodh*, the smallest letter of the Hebrew alphabet.

least stroke of a pen. The Greek word for this phrase means "horn" and was used to designate the slight embellishment or extension of certain letters of the Hebrew alphabet (somewhat like the bottom of a "j"). In modern typography, it's called a serif. For example, Times-Roman is a serif font, and Arial is a non-serif font.

5:21 *it was said.* The contrast that Jesus sets up (vv. 21,27,31,33,38,43) is not between Hebrew Scriptures and His teaching (He has just established the validity of the Law in the Hebrew Scriptures). Rather, it is between externalistic interpretation of the rabbinic tradition on the one hand, and Jesus' correct interpretation of the Law on the other.

murder. Several Hebrew and Greek verbs mean "kill." The ones used here and in Ex 20:13 specifically mean "murder."

5:22 *Raca.* May be related to the Aramaic word for "empty" and mean "Empty-head!"

hell. The Greek word is *ge(h)enna*, which derives its name from a deep ravine south of Jerusalem, the "Valley of (the Sons of) Hinnom" (Hebrew *ge hinnom*). During the reigns of the wicked Ahaz and Manasseh, human sacrifices to the Ammonite god Molech were offered there. Josiah desecrated the valley because of the pagan worship there (2Ki 23:10; see Jer 7:31-32; 19:6). It became a sort of perpetually burning city dump and later a figure for the place of final punishment.

5:23-26 Two illustrations of dealing with anger by means of reconciliation.

5:26 *penny.* The smallest Roman copper coin.

5:28 *looks at a woman lustfully.* Not a passing glance but a willful, calculated stare that arouses sexual desire. According to Jesus, this is a form of adultery even if it is "only in one's heart."



5:29-30 Jesus is not teaching self-mutilation, for even a blind man can lust. The point: we should deal as drastically with sin as necessary.

5:32 *except for marital unfaithfulness.* Neither Mark 10:11-12 nor Luke 16:18 mention this exception. (See also Matthew 19:3) This last part of the question is not in the parallel passage in Mark (10:2). Matthew possibly included it because he was writing to the Jews, who were aware of the dispute between the schools of Shammai and Hillel over the interpretation of Deuteronomy 24:1-4. To wit:

- ⌘ Shammai held that "something indecent" meant "marital unfaithfulness"--the only allowable cause for divorce.
- ⌘ Hillel (circa 60BC – 20AD) emphasized the preceding clause, "who becomes displeasing to him." He would allow a man to divorce his wife if she did anything he disliked—even if she burned his food while cooking it.

Jesus clearly took the side of Shammai (see v. 9), but only after first pointing back to God's original ideal for marriage in Genesis 1:27; 2:24. [See more information in *Appendix C, "Except for Marital Unfaithfulness."*]

5:33-37 Hebrew Scriptures allowed oaths except those that profaned the name of God. Jesus would do away with all oaths, in favor of always speaking the truth.

5:38 The so-called law of retaliation, as its contexts show, was actually meant to limit the punishment to fit the crime. By invoking the law of love, Jesus corrected the then, and currently, popular misunderstanding of the law of retaliation.

5:39 *resist*. Here it probably means in a court of law. *strikes*. The Greek verb used here means "slaps you with the back of the hand." It was more of an insult than an act of violence. The point is that it is better to be insulted even twice than to take the matter to court.

5:40 *tunic . . . cloak*. The first was an undergarment, the second a loose outer one.

5:41 *forces*. The Greek verb comes from a Persian word meaning "press into service" and is used in 27:32, where the Roman soldiers pressed Simon into service to carry Jesus' cross.

5:42 Probably to a general requirement to give to everyone who asks, but a reference to the poor (cf. Dt 15:7-11; Ps 112:5,9).

5:43 *hate your enemy*. Words not found anywhere in Hebrew Scriptures. However, hatred for one's enemies was an accepted part of the Jewish ethic at that time.

5:44 *pray*. Prayer is one of the practical ways love expresses itself (cf. Job 42:8-10).

5:45 *the evil and the good*. God shows his love to people without distinction.

5:46 *tax collectors*. Traditionally known as "publicans," these were local men employed by Roman tax contractors to collect taxes for them. Because they worked for Rome and often demanded unreasonable payments, the tax collectors gained a bad reputation and were generally hated and considered traitors.

5:48 *Be perfect*. Christ sets up the high ideal of perfect love (see vv. 43-47)--not that we can fully attain it in this life. That, however, is God's high standard for us.

6:1 *acts of righteousness*. This verse introduces the discussion of three acts of righteousness: (1) giving (vv. 2-4), (2) praying (vv. 5-15) and (3) fasting (vv. 16-18). *reward from your Father*. Spiritual growth and maturity or perhaps a heavenly reward of some kind.

6:2 *announce it with trumpets*. Perhaps a reference to the noise made by coins as they were thrown into the temple treasury. Or the phrase may be used figuratively to mean "make a big show of it." *hypocrites*. The Greek word means "play-actor." Matthew uses the word 13 times (Mark, twice; Luke, three times). Here it refers to those who fake being pious. *their reward in full*. The honor they receive from people is all the reward they get.

6:3 *do not let your left hand know what your right hand is doing*. A great metaphor teaching that one should not call attention to one's giving. Self-glorification is always a present danger.

6:6 *room*. The Greek word means "storeroom." Unlike most of the rooms in the house, it had a door that could be shut.

6:7 *babbling like pagans*. They used long lists of the names of their gods in their prayers, hoping that by constantly repeating them they would call on the name of the god that could help them. Jesus is not necessarily condemning all long prayers, but meaningless verbiage in praying.

6:12 *debts*. The meaning is the same as "sins." Jesus taught this truth on other occasions as well (Mt 18:35; Mk 11:25). The prayer is a pattern for believers, who have already been forgiven for their sins. Jesus speaks here of daily forgiveness, which is necessary to restore broken communion with God.

6:16 *fast*. See my footnote on Matthew 6:16.

6:17 *put oil on your head and wash your face*. Jews put ashes on their heads when fasting. That is, maintain your regular appearance. Fasting should not be done in an ostentatious way.

6:19-21 The dangers of riches are often mentioned in the NT (e.g., v. 24; 13:22; 19:22; Mk 10:17-30; Lk 12:16-21; 1Ti 6:9-10,17-19; Heb 13:5), but nowhere are they condemned in and of themselves. What Jesus condemns here is greed and hoarding of money. The challenge, however, is just like the presence of gun changing everything, so does the presence of money. Money is an artifact whose meaning is not insignificant.

6:19 *moth and rust*. Representative of all agents and processes (e.g., war or inflation) that destroy worldly possessions. *break in and steal*. Houses in Palestine had walls made of mud bricks and could be broken into easily.

6:20 *treasures in heaven*. Anything done in this life that has eternal value. The phrase is the equivalent of being "rich toward God" (Lk 12:21). In this context it probably more specifically refers to using one's material wealth for good causes.

6:30 *thrown into the fire*. Grass was commonly used to heat the clay ovens of Palestine.

APPENDIX A: THE “FULFILLMENT” OF THE LAW IN MATTHEW 5:17

Be sure you efficiently review with your students Christ’s lesson regarding the fulfillment of the Law. Otherwise, His teaching on morality will be viewed as just another set of rules for human conduct, another ethical manual as it were.

Morality is more than conduct—it is also a matter of character and goals. Jesus clarified the Law by stipulating its fulfillment was more than “correct conduct.” To use His introductory phrase, “You have heard it said⁶ ... but I say to you ...,” the Christ called us to a higher understanding of the Law.

1. The Law that changes not the heart (character) is mere legalism—refraining from murder and but hating your brother is contemptible by the Law.
2. The Law that changes not the goal of behavior is sheer hypocrisy—telling the truth, because you will not have to remember what you told people, is contemptible by the Law.
3. The Law that changes not the conduct, is virtual sloth—feeling compassion toward the poor and ignoring them is contemptible by the Law.

Therefore, regarding Jesus’ teaching regarding murder/anger, adultery/lust, and divorce/flippancy, you must choose whether to focus upon:

- 1) The nature of His teaching, thereby clarifying just “what the heart” of the matter is, and potentially losing applicability for your students, **or**
- 2) The applicability of handling murderous anger, adulterous lust, and flippant divorces, and potentially losing the clarifying nature of His teaching—i.e., morality is more than conduct.

Understanding the Heart of the Law, Its Conduct, and Its Purpose within the Context of the Gospel

Understanding the purpose of the Law, especially as it relates to the Gospel is a key issue taught by Jesus the Christ in Matthew 5:17-20. Thus, it must be a critical part of your teaching regarding His teaching, especially in matters of the heart (character) and matters of purpose (goals), Matthew 5:21-32.

First things first. Whether the Law is right and true is not because God articulated the Law be obeyed. To reduce the Law, and God, to His power as limited by His ability to espouse legislation questions His infallibility and inerrancy. Furthermore, if we hold that the Law is true and right just because God commanded it, then God could have said/commanded, *without the cross*, “I forgive

⁶See also the section I’ve included from the *Bible Illustrator*, entitled “You Have Heard It Said: Jewish Laws Behind Jesus’ Teachings” by John Pothill, Professor of New Testament, Southern Baptist Theological Seminary.

you.” But, the cross was required. Understanding why the cross was required, helps understand the nature of the Law.

Whether the Law is right and true is not because God only commanded that which is true and right. To reduce the Law’s goodness, and thus God’s goodness, to His wisdom limits the scope and perhaps even His praise-worthiness. Furthermore, if the Law becomes a god in that it transcends God who Himself must conform to the Law, we have put an illogical and unbiblical constraint on God. Finally, such a proposition makes atonement impossible—God would be unable to change a law above Him to which He Himself must conform.

How do we reconcile this issue? Well, that is part of what Jesus was addressing in Matthew 5:17-20 and illustrating in 5:21-32. To wit, the authority of the Law—whether it is true and right—is because the authority **is** Law; the authority of the Law is neither under nor over the Law. The Law is, of course, communicated by God; it is also wise, again because it was communicated by God. But the Law is true and righteous because it reflects its Author and who He is. The authority is neither under nor over the Law; the authority is Law. The Law is right and true because it reflects God Himself.

Therefore, Jesus the Christ can say, and we can believe with all assured trust (faith), that He came not to abolish the Law but to fulfill it. A “fulfilled” law satisfies the Law-Giver. It satisfies the Law-Executor. It satisfies the Seeker of Justice. It satisfies the Law-breaker. This may be the greatest statement of the Gospel—the Law is fulfilled. God is satisfied.

THE LAW IS HOLY
BECAUSE GOD IS
HOLY.

So, what was Jesus saying when Matthew used the word “fulfill” in 5:17? The Greek is πληρωω (play-row-oh) which is used in Scripture with a wide range of meanings: to complete, to accomplish, to obey, to clarify, to bring to maturity, to ratify, to culminate, and/or to perfect. Thus, there are a variety of conclusions which one might reasonably draw from Christ’s statement. How you view the “difference” between the “old” and the “new” testaments will largely affect the verb you choose.

If you believe Christ came to “complete” the Law, then its constraints on human behavior are lifted—they no longer bind us (discontinuity). If you believe that Christ came to “ratify” the Law, then its constraints continue to this day (hyper-continuity). If you believe that Christ came to “bring to maturity” the Law, then its constraints need to be understood fully (e.g., “... you have heard it said, but I say ...; continuity).

Implications: What About the Believer?

Jesus answers this question by answering how we are to live our lives—our righteousness must surpass those who know the Law backwards and forwards (the scribes), and even those who follow the Law to the letter, the Pharisees.

How do we live a life in the Kingdom where we know the Law has 600+ rules? And, each one must be followed perfectly (“For whoever keeps the whole law and yet stumbles at just one point is guilty of breaking all of it.” James 2:10). After all, not one jot nor tittle will be changed, but all will be ful-

filled (play-row-oh πληρωω). Just how do we do it? Jesus teaches that righteousness, following the Law, is an integrated, systemic matter of our character and our goals, **and** our conduct.

Jesus has called us to a higher, more complex plane of ethical conduct as believers—we are not only to do righteousness (conduct), and we are to seek righteousness (purpose), we are also to be righteous (character). Perhaps Peter said the latter best in 1 Peter 1:15-16:

But just as he who called you is holy, so be holy in all you do; for it is written: “Be holy, because I am holy.” (quoting in part Leviticus 11:44)

**THE LAW IS HOLY
BECAUSE GOD IS
HOLY.**

Let Holy Spirit work—follow the Law, He will show you—Don’t quench Him, let Holy Spirit do what He does—To be a viable container of Holy Spirit, you become holy.

This paradigm explains how Christians ultimately sin against God when they break His Law. In Genesis 39:9 – Joseph says, how then can I do this against God? In Psalm 51:4 – David says, against You and You alone have I sinned.

When you have sinned, you have sinned against God, not the rule/law. Hence, the seductive “usefulness” of eliminating God, in our mind, as the source of the Law, as a reflection of God’s character. It is all too easy to violate the Law when we simply view it as a violation of the law—not as what it is, a sin against God and God alone.


The Law

The Law is a major issue for we who call ourselves “Christian.” As early Christians we were mostly Jewish and comfortable with The Law. The Law was the law. The Law was inextricably interlaced within our culture’s values and practices. Intriguingly, however, we found its satisfaction by Jesus the Christ (Matthew 5:17) simultaneously comforting *and* disquieting. The Law teaches us that we are not perfect—even our sacrifices to satisfy The Law are inadequate—we can not meet its demands. The Law crushes any pretense of righteousness. We still feel guilty, and yet, as humans, we like “the rules” clearly spelled out. The Law met both those needs.

Unfortunately, however, The Law also provided an excuse for idolatry—people became enamored not with following The Law to please the Lord God Jehovah, but with pleasing others and themselves with hyper-active vigilance to the demands of The Law. Our Christ became absolutely livid with such abuse of The Law by the people of His time. His anger was justified beyond our hypocrisy—He knew His life must be sacrificed once and for all to fulfill His demands for justice. The Law could only be fulfilled by the perfect sacrifice by the perfect priest—by God Himself. Christ Jesus was the perfect man who offered Himself up as the sacrifice.

We often think we have evolved spiritually past this hyperactive application of “The Law.” Yet, when we consider deeply the enculturated precepts and presuppositions we bring to the new covenant, we too must worry about hypocrisy and that seductive idolatry which births itself from narcissistic rule-following. It will not be easy to get over our cultural precepts—yes, for example, there are ways to worship God that are unacceptable to us, but perfectly wonderful to Him. *He* is our measuring rod, not the feelings or opinions of others.

The Law is true and righteous not because it is God who declares what is true and righteous. Nor, is The Law true and righteous because only God knows what is definitively true and righteous. The Law is true and righteous because it mirrors its Author, His very essence. So? When we violate The Law, we are neither violating some capricious rule by a remote sovereign nor some esoteric ethical edict; we are violating the very nature of God. It’s not small potatoes.



Therefore, Jesus my Christ can say, and we can all believe with assured trust (faith), that He came not to abolish the Law but to fulfill (πληρῶσαι, Matthew 5:17) it. A “fulfilled” law satisfies the Law-Giver. It satisfies the Law-Executor. And, it satisfies the Seeker of Justice. This may be the greatest statement of the Gospel—the Law is fulfilled. God is satisfied.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "D. Thomas Felt". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

APPENDIX C: “EXCEPT FOR MARITAL UNFAITHFULNESS”

excerpted and edited from *The Bible Knowledge Commentary*⁷

Pharisees sought to test Jesus through a question: Is it lawful for a man to divorce his wife for any and every reason? The nation was divided over this issue. Followers of Hillel felt a man could divorce his wife for almost any reason, but others, following Shammai, thought one could not divorce his wife unless she were guilty of sexual offense.

Without getting involved in the Hillel-Shammai controversy Jesus reminded the religious leaders of God’s original purpose in establishing the marriage bond. God made people male and female (v. 4; Gen. 1:27). In marriage He joins them together in an inseparable bond. This bond is a higher calling than the parent-child relationship, for a man is to leave his father and mother and be joined to his wife in a one-flesh relationship (Gen. 2:24). Therefore what God has joined together, men ought not separate (choristheinai χωρισθηναι; in 1 Cor. 7:10 this word means “to divorce”).

The Pharisees, realizing Jesus was speaking of the permanence of the marital relationship, asked why Moses made a provision for divorce for people in his time (Matt. 19:7). The Lord’s answer was that Moses granted this permission because people’s hearts were hard (cf. Deut. 24:1-4). “Because your hearts were hard” is literally, “toward your hardness of heart” (skle,,rokardian; from skle,,ros, “hardness,” comes the Eng. “sclerosis,” and from *kardian* comes the Eng. “cardiac”). But that was not God’s intention for marriage. God intended husbands and wives to live together permanently. Divorce was wrong except for marital unfaithfulness (cf. Matt. 5:32).

Bible scholars differ over the meaning of this “exception clause,” found only in Matthew’s Gospel. The word for “marital unfaithfulness” is *porneia*.

1. Some feel Jesus used this as a synonym for adultery (*moicheia*). Therefore adultery by either partner in a marriage is the only sufficient grounds for a marriage to end in divorce. Among those holding this view, some believe remarriage is possible but others believe remarriage should never occur.
2. Others define *porneia* as a sexual offense that could occur only in the betrothal period when a Jewish man and woman were considered married but had not yet consummated their coming marriage with sexual intercourse. If in this period the woman was found pregnant (as was Mary; 1:18-19), a divorce could occur in order to break the contract.
3. Still others believe the term *porneia* referred to illegitimate marriages within prohibited degrees of kinship, as in Leviticus 18:6-18. If a man discovered that his wife was a near relative, he would actually be involved in an incestuous marriage. Then this would be a justifiable grounds for divorce. Some say this meaning of *porneia* is found in Acts 15:20, 29 (cf. 1 Cor. 5:1).
4. Another view is that *porneia* refers to a relentless, persistent, unrepentant lifestyle of sexual unfaithfulness (different from a one-time act of illicit relations). (In the NT *porneia* is broader than *moicheia*). Such a continued practice would thus be the basis for divorce, since such unfaithful and unrelenting conduct would have broken the marriage bond. (On the subject of divorce and remarriage, see comments on 1 Cor. 7:10-16.)

Whatever view one takes on the exception clause, Jesus obviously affirmed the permanence of marriage. Those who heard His words understood Him in this way, for they reasoned that if there were no grounds

⁷As accessed on October 17, 2007 from http://www.bible.org/qa.php?topic_id=5&qa_id=13#P180_55046

for divorce one would be better off never to marry. But this was not what Jesus intended, for God has given marriage to people for their betterment (Gen. 2:18). Marriage should be a deterrent to lustful sin and to unfaithfulness (1 Cor. 7:2). But a few either do not have normal sexual desires (they were born eunuchs or were castrated), or are able to control those desires for the furtherance of God's program on the earth (Matt. 19:12; cf. 1 Cor. 7:7-8, 26). But not all are able to accept the single role (Matt. 19:11). Many marry and carry out God's purposes, extending His work in the world.